
From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 10:08 AM
To: Thompson, Karl; Price, Zachary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Sorry, I mean (b) (5)

From: Thompson, Karl
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 10:07 AM
To: Price, Zachary; Lederman, Marty; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

OK.

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 10:02 AM
To: Thompson, Karl; Lederman, Marty; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

I need to finish dealing with the rest of the comments on this bill and then I will look.

From: Thompson, Karl
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 10:00 AM
To: Lederman, Marty; Price, Zachary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

(b) (5)

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 9:53 AM
To: Thompson, Karl; Price, Zachary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: Re [REDACTED] comment

[REDACTED] (b) (5) "?

From: Thompson, Karl
To: Lederman, Marty; Price, Zachary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Sent: Fri Jun 11 09:41:36 2010
Subject: RE [REDACTED] comment

Yes. [REDACTED] (b) (5)

[REDACTED]. For example [REDACTED] (b) (5) provides:

Whenever--

[REDACTED] (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 9:30 AM
To: Thompson, Karl; Price, Zachary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

I assume you're referring to the (b) (5)

"?

From: Thompson, Karl
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 9:24 AM
To: Lederman, Marty; Price, Zachary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

The first part of the attached article walks through the statutes.

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 9:16 AM
To: Price, Zachary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

(b) (5) ? Also, pls send the statutes to which Karl refers, thx

From: Price, Zachary
To: Lederman, Marty; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Sent: Fri Jun 11 08:34:07 2010
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

(b) (5)

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]

From: Lederman, Marty
To: Lederman, Marty; Barron, David; Price, Zachary; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Sent: Fri Jun 11 08:12:24 2010

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 10:22 AM
To: Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Lederman, Marty; Barron, David
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha; Colborn, Paul P
Subject: FW: LRM [BL-111-264] OMB Request for Views o (b) (5)
(b) (5)
Attachments: (b) (5) text.pdf (b) (5) Annotated Table of Contents.pdf; Control (BL-111-264).pdf;
(b) (5) .doc

Here are the compiled comments o (b) (5), with the exception of th (b) (5) comment, which we can plug in once it's finalized. That comment will be the big-ticket item here. The rest is pretty straightforward, although we should discuss some of the privilege comments with Paul (b) (5).

(Paul: There are some additional privilege comments here that I don't think you've seen yet. Could you take a look?)

Thanks,

Zach

From: Forrester, Nate
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 11:17 AM
To: OLC_Attorneys

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 11:30 AM
To: Rhee, Jeannie
Cc: Barron, David; Colborn, Paul P
Subject: FW: Senat (b) (5).doc
Attachments: Senat (b) (5).doc

(b) (5)
Paul: (b) (5)
?

Thanks,

Zach

From: Rhee, Jeannie
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 11:05 AM
To: Barron, David; Price, Zachary
Subject: Senat (b) (5).doc

<<Senat (b) (5).doc>>

This is in good shape. David - it's ready for your review. [Zach - I had one question on th (b) (5) comment for you].

Thanks.

From: Barron, David
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 12:24 PM
To: Price, Zachary; Thompson, Karl; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Tracking:

Recipient

Price, Zachary
Thompson, Karl
Lederman, Marty
Rhee, Jeannie
Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Forrester, Nate
Anderson, Trisha

This sounds ight

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 12:17 PM
To: Barron, David; Thompson, Karl; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

A proposal: We might add a paragraph at the end saying (b) (5)

[REDACTED]

(b) (5)

[REDACTED]

From: Barron, David
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 11:43 AM
To: Price, Zachary; Thompson, Karl; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

Hmmm...

From: Price, Zachary
To: Barron, David; Thompson, Karl; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Sent: Fri Jun 11 11:20:44 2010
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

(b) (5)

From: Barron, David
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 11:19 AM
To: Price, Zachary; Thompson, Karl; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

(b) (5)

From: Price, Zachary
To: Thompson, Karl; Lederman, Marty; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Sent: Fri Jun 11 10:59:29 2010
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

David:

Per the conversation we just had, (b) (5)

Thanks,

Zach

From: Thompson, Karl
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 10:14 AM
To: Lederman, Marty; Price, Zachary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Still nailing this down, but I thin (b) (5)

From: Lederman, Marty

Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 9:53 AM

To: Thompson, Karl; Price, Zachary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan

Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Paisner, Michael
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 1:28 PM
To: Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Koffsky, Daniel L; Barron, David; Colborn, Paul P
Subject: RE (b) (5)

Apologies again for the multiple revised drafts today. I made some changes to the last paragraph of section II t (b) (5) and included Paul's suggested footnote. Clean and redline attached.

< (b) (5) mpedit (6_11_10).doc>> < (b) (5) mpedit (6_11_10) REDLINE.doc>>

Michael Paisner
Attorney-Adviser
Office of Legal Counsel
(b) (6)

From: Paisner, Michael
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 11:27 AM
To: Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Koffsky, Daniel L; Barron, David; Colborn, Paul P
Subject: (b) (5)

Here's a revised draft incorporating Jonathan's edits. I'm also attaching the Barron opinion.

<< File (b) (5) mpedit (6_11_10).doc >> << File (b) (5) FINAL v.3.doc >>

Michael Paisner
Attorney-Adviser
Office of Legal Counsel
(b) (6)

(b) (5) (b) (5)

From: Barron, David
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 1:30 PM
To: Rhee, Jeannie
Subject: ndaa
Attachments: Senat (b) (5).djb.061010.doc

Tracking: **Recipient**
Rhee, Jeannie

Looks good. I have one question inserted on (b) (5) issue. Thanks for getting this in such great shape so fast . all that is left is th (b) (5) issue right? <<Senat (b) (5).djb.061010.doc>>

From: Thompson, Karl
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 3:54 PM
To: Barron, David; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie
Subject: FW: (b) (5) doc
Attachments: (b) (5) approach proposal apr 30 2010.docx

(b) (5)

From: Barron, David
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 3:50 PM
To: Rhee, Jeannie; Lederman, Marty; Thompson, Karl
Subject: FW: (b) (5) doc

Not sure if I already sent this. Could you insert your tweaks to it. They looked good. The idea is to regard the bullet they dropped as subsumed within the bullet they kept

From: Verrilli, Donald B. [mailto:Donald_B._Verrilli@who.eop.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 2:59 PM
To: Barron, David
Subject: FW: (b) (5) doc

Doc attached. Thanks for the sage advice on (b) (5) issue.

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 4:50 PM
To: Silas, Adrien
Cc: Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Forrester, Nate; Lederman, Marty; Barron, David; Mitchell, Dyone; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: FW: LRM [BL-111-264] OMB Request for Views o (b) (5)
(b) (5)
Attachments: (b) (5) text.pdf (b) (5) Annotated Table of Contents.pdf; Control (BL-111-264).pdf; Senat (b) (5) -- FINAL minu (b) (5).doc

Adrien:

The attached document provides OLC's comments o (b) (5) , with the exception of our comment on sectio (b) (5), which we will provide to you as soon as possible.

Thanks for your patience, and our apologies for the delay.

Best,

Zach

From: Freeman, Andria D
Sent: Tuesday, June 08, 2010 5:16 PM

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Dunbar, Kelly P.
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 5:04 PM
To: Barron, David; Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Koffsky, Daniel L; Thompson, Karl; Price, Zachary
Subject: (b) (5) op.
Attachments: Outline of new (b) (5) section.doc

A very rough draft of an alternativ (b) (5) is attached. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

I will withhold my views on which version is more persuasive.

Thanks much, Kelly

Kelly P. Dunbar
Office of Legal Counsel
U.S. Department of Justice
(b) (6)

From: Barron, David
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 6:51 PM
To: 'Verrilli, Donald B.'
Cc: Rhee, Jeannie; Lederman, Marty; Bies, John; Delery, Stuart F. (ODAG)
Subject: (b) (5)
Attachments: (b) (5).june10.docx

Tracking:

Recipient

'Verrilli, Donald B.'

Rhee, Jeannie

Lederman, Marty

Bies, John

Delery, Stuart F. (ODAG)

Here is the mark up – which as you can see (b) (5) S.

(b) (5). But there are three other points that occur to me, two of which are more long term in the benefits that they would supply to the executive.

First, I think an important practical point, (b) (5)

(b) (5)

Second, and relatedly, it is my own judgment that (b) (5)

(b) (5)

Third (b) (5)

(b) (5)

If other points, or examples, occur to me, < (b) (5).june10.docx>> I will pass them along.

Assuming there is agreement on substance, we should discuss process as well

(b) (5)

Thanks to Bob, you and Alli for your great efforts on this. They are greatly appreciated.

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 6:55 PM
To: Barron, David
Subject: FW: The long-awaited...
Attachments: (b) (5) Short Memo 0528 (ka).doc

From: Akowuah, Kwaku A
Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 7:44 PM
To: Lederman, Marty
Subject: The long-awaited...

(b) (5) piece. My edits to David's draft in track changes.

Thanks,

Kwaku < (b) (5) Short Memo 0528 (ka).doc>>

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 6:57 PM
To: Barron, David
Subject: (b) (5) not quite complete
Attachments: (b) (5) comment -- draft2.doc

< (b) (5) comment -- draft2.doc>>

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 6:59 PM
To: Barron, David
Subject: (b) (5)

< (b) (5) comment -- draft2.doc>>

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Barron, David
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 7:10 PM
To: Lederman, Marty
Subject: RE (b) (5)

Tracking: **Recipient**
 Lederman, Marty

Marty – hmm. I think I unfortunately liked the structure in the draft immediately preceding this one better. (b) (5)

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] < (b) (5) .djv.doc> [REDACTED] (b) (5)
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

thanks.

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 6:59 PM

duplicate

From: Barron, David
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 7:12 PM
To: Price, Zachary; Thompson, Karl; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Tracking:

Recipient

Price, Zachary
Thompson, Karl
Lederman, Marty
Rhee, Jeannie
Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Forrester, Nate
Anderson, Trisha

O (b) (5) no need to keep redlining. if marty could just send me an insert o (b) (5) and karl and zach could send me an insert o (b) (5), and someone could fill out the cites as to wh (b) (5) and send me that small piece as an insert, I will take it to final. Thanks everyone for great work.

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 5:31 PM
To: Barron, David; Thompson, Karl; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

I'm sorry, I have to run out now to pick up my kids. Here is the comment as it now stands. I took a stab at filling in the text David just requested, but I haven't gotten to the footnote. I'm happy to fill in this and any other gaps later from home.

Thanks,

Zach

From: Barron, David
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 4:47 PM
To: Price, Zachary; Thompson, Karl; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

I think at the end we need some language at the beginning of the final paragraph explainin (b) (5)

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 4:02 PM
To: Thompson, Karl; Barron, David; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Here's a draft with everything but the two footnotes. I'll work on the first footnote after the meeting.

From: Thompson, Karl
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 12:24 PM
To: Price, Zachary; Barron, David; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

I'd just started taking a stab at this, but why don't you go ahead and then I can revise on top of what you do. Meanwhile, I'll look a (b) (5).

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 12:17 PM
To: Barron, David; Thompson, Karl; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Barron, David
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 11:00 PM
To: Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Rhee, Jeannie
Subject: Re (b) (5)

That's very helpful. Thx

----- Original Message -----
From: Cedarbaum, Jonathan
To: Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie
Sent: Fri Jun 11 22:40:29 2010
Subject (b) (5)

So far tabs 5, 6, and 7 in the giant binder are the best of the early materials. (b) (5)

I'll keep sending roadmap notes as i plow. Thanks.

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 1:29 AM
To: Hart, Rosemary; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Thompson, Karl
Subject: Fw (b) (5) comment
Attachments: (b) (5) comment -- draft3.doc

Hi Rosemary -- wd u mind reviewing this and in particular whether we r right i

(b) (5)

Is there any problem u can see wit

(b) (5)

? Since we have anothe (b) (5) issue in the morning I wanted to make sure you reviewed this part as well. We are on a very tight timeline though as it needs to be in to ola probably by tomorrow night

From: Price, Zachary
To: Barron, David; Thompson, Karl; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Cc: Forrester, Nate; Anderson, Trisha
Sent: Fri Jun 11 17:30:50 2010

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 5:43 AM
To: Barron, David; Lederman, Marty; Thompson, Karl; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Subject: RE (b) (5)
Attachments: (b) (5) comment -- draft4.doc

David:

I added th (b) (5) footnote and took a stab at the paragraph o (b) (5)
If others have ideas, some more material on the latter point would probably be helpful. The red-line shows only these most recent additions, so you can just use this text as inserts if you've been working on the draft separately.

(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)

I haven't added anything on th (b) (5) point addressed in our separate email exchange. Let me know if you want anything on that.

Thanks,

Zach

-----Original Message-----

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 1:13 AM
To: Lederman, Marty; Thompson, Karl; Price, Zachary; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Subject: Re (b) (5)

There are three ag ops we shd review. (b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5) light?

----- Original Message -----

From: Barron, David
To: Lederman, Marty; Thompson, Karl; Price, Zachary; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Sent: Sat Jun 12 00:47:47 2010
Subject: Re (b) (5)

Check ou (b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Barron, David
To: Lederman, Marty; Thompson, Karl; Price, Zachary; Rhee, Jeannie; Cedarbaum, Jonathan
Sent: Sat Jun 12 00:14:02 2010
Subject (b) (5)

(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)

(b) (5)

We shd get all of this into the draft

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 9:24 AM
To: Hart, Rosemary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Price, Zachary
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment
Attachments: (b) (5).text.pdf

se (b) (5)

Put another way (b) (5)

(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5) ? Zach, Rosemary -- I think this is the first order of business, because the entire rest of (b) (5) depends upon it. I'll be out of pocket until about 3, but checking blackberry. Thanks

From: Hart, Rosemary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 8:56 AM
To: Barron, David; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Price, Zachary
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

Can someone send me the relevant parts of the bill? I have not seen it. Or has Marty quoted the only language we are examining for purposes of this question?

From: Barron, David
To: Hart, Rosemary; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Price, Zachary
Sent: Sat Jun 12 08:50:32 2010
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

Thx rosemary but how does that relate to marty's point about (b) (5)
(b) (5) ? Put otherwise, do you read the bill as the memo does? A lot turns on this so we want to get it right

From: Hart, Rosemary
To: Lederman, Marty; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Price, Zachary
Sent: Sat Jun 12 08:47:54 2010
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

(b) (5)

From: Lederman, Marty
To: Hart, Rosemary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Price, Zachary

Sent: Sat Jun 12 08:39:54 2010
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Rosemary: Thanks. The important question, I think, is (b) (5)

So I think you're right that (b) (5)

Our question, I think, is (b) (5)

From: Hart, Rosemary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 8:16 AM
To: Barron, David; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Thompson, Karl
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

David - I'll check the memo and statutes (b) (5)

From: Barron, David
To: Hart, Rosemary; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Thompson, Karl
Sent: Sat Jun 12 01:28:40 2010

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 10:26 AM
To: Price, Zachary; Lederman, Marty; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Thompson, Karl
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

Let's try it

From: Price, Zachary
To: Lederman, Marty; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Barron, David; Thompson, Karl
Sent: Sat Jun 12 10:06:28 2010
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

I'm starting to envision a very different comment, one that focuses on (b) (5).

We might say that (b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5).

We could then suggest (b) (5)
(b) (5).

What do people think? I'm sorry I missed this before, but I'm starting to think this makes a lot of sense.

I'm also going to be tied up until about 2 or 2:30, but will start working on this then.

From: Lederman, Marty
To: Price, Zachary; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Barron, David; Thompson, Karl
Sent: Sat Jun 12 09:41:37 2010
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

(b) (5)

From: Price, Zachary
To: Lederman, Marty; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Barron, David; Thompson, Karl
Sent: Sat Jun 12 09:37:41 2010
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

(b) (5)

From: Lederman, Marty
To: Hart, Rosemary; Price, Zachary
Cc: Lederman, Marty; Barron, David; Thompson, Karl
Sent: Sat Jun 12 09:27:53 2010
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

Just to be clear (b) (5); that's one of the questions we're trying to answer

From: Hart, Rosemary
To: 'Price, Zachary'
Cc: 'marty.lederman@usdoj.gov' <marty.lederman@usdoj.gov>; 'David.Barron@usdoj.gov' <David.Barron@usdoj.gov>; 'karl.thompson@usdoj.gov' <karl.thompson@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Sat Jun 12 09:24:24 2010
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Thanks for sending this, Zach. (b) (5)

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 9:12 AM
To: Lederman, Marty; Hart, Rosemary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Thompson, Karl
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Rosemary:

I've pasted the full text below. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

Do you disagree with this reading?

Thanks,

Zach

(b) (5)

(b) (5) [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 8:59 AM
To: Hart, Rosemary; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Price, Zachary
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

OK. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Hart, Rosemary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 8:48 AM
To: Lederman, Marty; Barron, David; Rhee, Jeannie; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Price, Zachary

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Hart, Rosemary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 10:28 AM
To: 'Barron, David'
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

David: Just wanted to let you know tha (b) (5)

. I am going into the office in about an hour to catch up on stuff given that I was at the conference much of the week, so I also will have access to my files there.

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 1:29 AM
To: Hart, Rosemary; Lederman, Marty; Rhee, Jeannie; Thompson, Karl

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 11:34 AM
To: Thompson, Karl
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

Cd u give me a cal (b) (6)

From: Thompson, Karl
To: Price, Zachary; Lederman, Marty; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Barron, David
Sent: Sat Jun 12 10:40:04 2010
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

(b) (5)

[Redacted content]

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 10:06 AM
To: Lederman, Marty; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Barron, David; Thompson, Karl

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

provide very effective frontier protection). very similar language was reenacted in 1790. Also, as I mentioned earlier, the

duplicate

Columbia, through the commanding general of the National Guard of the District of Columbia.

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

(b) (5)

David

Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 10:57 AM

To: Thompson, Karl; Price, Zachary; Lederman, Marty; Hart, Rosemary

Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

I just talked to rosemary. She is going to get in touch with rosemary (b) (5). Three possibilities:

(b) (5)

What do you think?

From: Thompson, Karl

To: Thompson, Karl; Price, Zachary; Lederman, Marty; Hart, Rosemary

Cc: Barron, David

Sent: Sat Jun 12 10:47:05 2010

Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

(b) (5)

From: Thompson, Karl

Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 10:40 AM

To: Price, Zachary; Lederman, Marty; Hart, Rosemary

Cc: Barron, David

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Hart, Rosemary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 11:50 AM
To: 'Barron, David'
Cc: 'karl.thompson@usdoj.gov'; 'Lederman, Marty'; 'Price, Zachary'
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Yes - (b) (5)

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 11:32 AM
To: Thompson, Karl; Price, Zachary; Lederman, Marty; Hart, Rosemary

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 12:36 PM
To: Lederman, Marty
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

Call me if u can?

From: Lederman, Marty
To: Hart, Rosemary; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Barron, David; Price, Zachary
Sent: Sat Jun 12 12:12:16 2010
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

(b) (5)

From: Hart, Rosemary
To: 'Thompson, Karl'
Cc: 'Barron, David'; 'Lederman, Marty'; 'Price, Zachary'
Sent: Sat Jun 12 12:10:18 2010
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Karl: I thin (b) (5)
.
RH

From: Thompson, Karl
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 11:55 AM
To: Barron, David; Price, Zachary; Lederman, Marty; Hart, Rosemary
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Understood. (b) (5)

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 11:32 AM
To: Thompson, Karl; Price, Zachary; Lederman, Marty; Hart, Rosemary

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Thompson, Karl
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 1:23 PM
To: Barron, David; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Lederman, Marty; Price, Zachary
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Yes -- we will coordinate.

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 1:00 PM
To: Thompson, Karl; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Lederman, Marty; Price, Zachary
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

Zach/karl -- not sure who has the pen but are u working on a draft that wd explai

(b) (5)

From: Thompson, Karl
To: Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Barron, David; Lederman, Marty; Price, Zachary
Sent: Sat Jun 12 12:18:48 2010
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

(b) (5)

From: Hart, Rosemary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 12:10 PM
To: 'Thompson, Karl'
Cc: 'Barron, David'; 'Lederman, Marty'; 'Price, Zachary'

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Thompson, Karl
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 2:33 PM
To: Price, Zachary; Barron, David; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Lederman, Marty
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

(b) (5)

[Redacted]

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 2:25 PM
To: Barron, David; Thompson, Karl; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Lederman, Marty
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

I'll write it up right now. Sorry for the delay. I'm envisioning sayin

(b) (5)

[Redacted]

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 1:00 PM
To: Thompson, Karl; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Lederman, Marty; Price, Zachary

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Hart, Rosemary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 2:57 PM
To: Barron, David; Price, Zachary; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Lederman, Marty
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

3:15 is better for Carl – he can try to get his boss to participate.

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 2:55 PM
To: Hart, Rosemary; Price, Zachary; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Lederman, Marty
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

Yes great

From: Hart, Rosemary
To: Barron, David; Price, Zachary; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Sat Jun 12 14:46:38 2010
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

I've made contact with Carl Wagner. Shall we try to do a call at 3 p.m.?

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 2:33 PM
To: Price, Zachary; Thompson, Karl; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Lederman, Marty
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

Karl -- give me a buz (b) (6)

From: Price, Zachary
To: Barron, David; Thompson, Karl; Hart, Rosemary
Cc: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Sat Jun 12 14:25:29 2010

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

From: Hart, Rosemary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 3:09 PM
To: Barron, David
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

David: On our other matter: (b) (5)

[REDACTED]

Rosemary

From: Barron, David
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 3:02 PM
To: Thompson, Karl; Hart, Rosemary; Price, Zachary
Cc: Lederman, Marty
Subject: Re (b) (5) comment

Me too

From: Thompson, Karl
To: Hart, Rosemary; Price, Zachary; Barron, David
Cc: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Sat Jun 12 14:56:47 2010
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Works for me.

From: Hart, Rosemary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 2:56 PM
To: Price, Zachary; Barron, David; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Lederman, Marty
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Carl is available at 3:15; he'll try to get his supervisor on, too – Jim Schwenk.

I can ask the command center to set up the call.

From: Price, Zachary
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 2:48 PM
To: Hart, Rosemary; Barron, David; Thompson, Karl
Cc: Lederman, Marty
Subject: RE (b) (5) comment

Fine with me.

From: Hart, Rosemary

Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 2:47 PM

To: Barron, David; Price, Zachary; Thompson, Karl

Cc: Lederman, Marty

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate

duplicate